

Palladium-Catalyzed Selective 2,3-Diarylation of α,α-Disubstituted 3-Thiophenemethanols via Cleavage of C-H and C-C Bonds

Masaya Nakano, Tetsuya Satoh, and Masahiro Miura*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

miura@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

Received July 6, 2006



 α, α -Disubstituted 3-thiophenemethanols undergo selective diarylation accompanied by cleavage of the C-H and C-C bonds of the 2- and 3-positions, respectively, upon treatment with aryl bromides in the presence of a palladium catalyst to give the corresponding 2,3-diarylthiophenes in good yields.

Poly- and oligoaryl compounds involving a thiophene unit have recently attracted much attention as the organic components of electronic devices.¹ Arylated thiophenes may also exhibit interesting biological activities.² One of the most useful methods to prepare such aryl heteroarenes is the palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of aryl halides with heteroarylmetals or of heteroaryl halides with arylmetals.³ It is also known that a number of five-membered heteroarenes including thiophenes can couple with aryl halides directly at their 2- and 5-positions under the influence of palladium catalysts.⁴

Meanwhile, catalytic reactions via cleavage of $C-H^{4,5}$ and $C-C^{6}$ bonds have attracted much attention from atom-economic

and chemoselective points of view, and various catalytic processes involving different modes to activate the relatively inert bonds have been developed. While the above direct arylation of heteroarenes is a useful example, among the most promising and general activation strategies is to utilize the proximate effect by coordination of a functional group in a given substrate to the metal center of a catalyst. As one of the representative reactions, we reported the palladium-catalyzed coupling of tert-benzyl alcohols with aryl halides.7 The reaction proceeds not only via C-H cleavage but also via C-C cleavage in the key arylpalladium(II) alcoholate species (Scheme 1). The precedence of the bond cleavages depends on both the substrate and catalyst structures. In the reaction using α . α -diphenyl-2thiophenemethanol as a heterocyclic substrate, the thienyl moiety was found to couple with aryl halides selectively via C-C cleavage with extrusion of benzophenone to give 2-arylthiophenes, which can be further arylated at the 5 position via C-H cleavage (Scheme 2, a).^{7b,d} In our continuous study of catalytic arylation, we observed that in sharp contrast to the reaction of the 2-thiophenemethanol derivative, its 3-thienyl isomer undergo sequential diarylation via initial C-H cleavage followed by C-C cleavage to give 2,3-diarylthiophenes selectively (Scheme 2, b), which is reported herein.

When α, α -diphenyl-3-thiophenemethanol (2a) (0.5 mmol) was treated with bromobenzene (1a) (2 mmol) in the presence of Pd(OAc)₂ (0.05 mmol) and PPh₃ (0.2 mmol) using Cs₂CO₃ (2 mmol) as base in refluxing toluene for 10 h, 2,3-diphenylthiophene (3a) (58%) was formed together with 2,3,5-triphenylthiophene (4) (41%) (Table 1, entry 1). The reaction using PCy_3 or P(biphenyl-2-yl)(t-Bu)₂ (0.1 mmol) as ligand in place of PPh₃ also gave 3a and 4 (entries 2 and 3). Notably, with the latter ligand, the diphenylated product **3a** was produced selectively in 86% yield. At an elevated temperature in refluxing o-xylene in the presence of PPh_3 or PCy_3 (entries 4 and 5), the triphenylated product 4 was obtained as the predominant product, whereas using the bulky biphenylphosphine a considerable amount of 3a remained (entry 6). These results indicate that all the ligands examined can promote the phenylation at the 2- and 5-positions via C-H cleavage and that at the 3-position via C-C cleavage, while the reaction of the 5-position is relatively slow, especially with the biphenylphosphine ligand.

Monitoring the reaction of **2a** with **1a** under the conditions for entry 3 by GC–MS confirmed that in the early stage, a considerable amount of monophenylated product, that is α , α ,2triphenyl-3-thiophenemethanol, is found together with **3a** and the former is disappeared to afford **3a** as the predominant

^{(1) (}a) Roncali, J. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 173. (b) Mitschke, U.; Bäuerle, P. J. Mater. Chem. **2000**, *10*, 1471. (c) Katz, H. E.; Bao, Z.; Gilat, S. L. Acc. Chem. Res. **2001**, *34*, 359. (c) Sun, Y.; Liu, Y.; Zhu, D. J. Mater. Chem. **2005**, *15*, 53. (d) Perepichaka, I. F.; Perepichaka, D. F.; Meng, H.; Wudl, F. Adv. Mater. **2005**, *17*, 2281.

^{(2) (}a) Veeramaneni V. R. Pal, M.; Yeleswarapu, K. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.*2003, 59, 3283. (b) Tordjman, C.; Sauveur, F.; Droual, M.; Briss, S.; Andre, N.; Bellot, I.; Deschamps, C.; Wierzbicki, M. *Arzneim. Forsch.* 2003, 53, 774. (c) Nesterov, E. E.; Skoch, J.; Hyman, B. T.; Klunk, W. E.; Bacskai, B. J.; Swager, T. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2005, 44, 5452.

^{(3) (}a) de Meijere, A., Diederich, F. *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany 2004. (b) Tsuji, J. *Palladium Reagents and Catalysts*, 2nd ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, UK, 2004.

⁽⁴⁾ Reviews: (a) Miura, M.; Nomura, M. Top. Curr. Chem. 2002, 219, 211. (b) Hassan, J.; Sévignon, M.; Gozzi, C.; Schulz, E.; Lemaire, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1359. (c) Miura, M.; Satoh, T. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 14, 55. (d) Miura, M.; Satoh, T. In Handbook of C-H Transformations; Dyker, G., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005; Vol. 1, p 229.

⁽⁵⁾ Reviews: (a) Shilov, A. E.; Shul'pin, G. B. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2879. (b) Kakiuchi, F.; Murai, S. Top. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 3, 47. (c) Dyker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1698. (d) Kakiuchi, F.; Murai, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 826. (e) Ritleng, V.; Sirlin, C.; Pfeffer, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1731. (f) Kakiuchi, F.; Chatani, N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 1077. (g) Miura, M.; Satoh, T. In Handbook of C-H Transformations; Dyker, G., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005; Vol. 1, p 223.

⁽⁶⁾ Reviews: (a) Crabtree, R. H. Chem. Rev. **1985**, 85, 245. (b) Rybtchinski, B.; Milstein, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1999**, 38, 870. (c) Murakami, M.; Ito, Y. Top. Organomet. Chem. **1999**, 3, 97. (d) Mitsudo, T.; Kondo, T. Synlett **2001**, 309. (e) Jun, C.-H.; Moon, C. W.; Lee, D.-Y. Chem. Eur. J. **2002**, 8, 2423. (f) Perthuisot, C.; Edelbach, B. L.; Zubris, D. L.; Simhai, N.; Iverson, C. N.; Müller, C.; Satoh, T.; Jones, W. D. J. Mol. Catal. A **2002**, 189, 157. (g) Catellani, M. Synlett **2003**, 298. (h) Nishimura, T.; Uemura, S. Synlett **2004**, 201. (i) Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Top. Organomet. Chem. **2005**, 14, 1.

^{(7) (}a) Terao, Y.; Wakui, H.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, *123*, 10407. (b) Terao, Y.; Wakui, H.; Nomoto, M.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. J. Org. Chem. **2003**, 68, 5236. (c) Terao, Y.; Nomoto, M.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. J. Org. Chem. **2004**, 69, 6942. (d) Yokooji, A.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. Tetrahedron **2004**, 60, 6757.

JOC Note

SCHEME 1





SCHEME 3



SCHEME 4



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: [1]/[2]/[Pd(OAc)₂]/[ligand]/[Cs₂CO₃] = 2:0.5: 0.05:0.1:2 (in mmol), in refluxing toluene under N₂ for 8 h. ^{*b*} Determined by GC.

SCHEME 5



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: $[1]/[2]/[Pd(OAc)_2]/[ligand]/[Cs_2CO_3] = 2:0.5: 0.05:0.1:2 (in mmol), in refluxing toluene under N₂ for 8 h. ^{$ *b*} Determined by GC. The value in parentheses is the isolated yield.

product along with **4**. This observation is consistent with the successive 2,3-diphenylation in this order.

We previously reported that *N*-phenyl-2-thiophenecarboxamide also undergoes triphenylation upon treatment with excess bromobenzene under similar conditions to give **4** (Scheme 3).⁸ The reaction is considered to proceed through coordinationassisted 3-phenylation followed by either 5-phenylation or decarbamoylation at the 2-position, and thus, both 2,4- and 2,3diphenylthiophenes are formed in comparable amounts as the precursors of **4**. In contrast, in the reaction of **2a**, participation

TABLE 1. Reaction of α, α -Diphenyl-3-thiophenemethanol (2a) with Bromobenzene $(1a)^{\alpha}$



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: $[1a]/[2a]/[Pd(OAc)_2]/[L]/[Cs_2CO_3] = 2:0.5:0.05: 0.1:2 (in mmol), in refluxing toluene or$ *o*-xylene under N₂ for 10 h. ^{*b*} GLC yield based on the amount of 2a used. ^{*c*} [L] = 0.2 mmol.

TABLE 2. Reaction of α, α -Diphenyl-3-thiophenemethanol (2a) with Various Aryl Bromides $(1b-g)^{\alpha}$



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: [1a]/[2a]/[Pd(OAc)₂]/[ligand]/[Cs₂CO₃] = 2:0.5: 0.05:0.1:2 (in mmol), in refluxing toluene under N₂ for 8–10 h. ^{*b*} Determined by GC. The value in parentheses is the isolated yield.

of the 2,4-isomer is, if any, negligible and the 2,3-isomer **3a** can be obtained effectively under controlled conditions, which appeared to be a remarkable aspect. Consequently, we next undertook the preparation of various 2,3-diarylthiophenes by the present method.

Table 2 summarizes the results for the reactions of the thiophenemethanol 2a with various aryl bromides 1b-g. The diarylation proceeded effectively using both electron-rich bromide 1b and those having an electron-withdrawing substituent 1c-f to give 3b-f. 4-Bromobiphenyl (1g) was also applicable to afford 3g.

2-(Thienyl-3-yl)-2-propanol (**2b**) and its benzothiophene analogue **2c** in place of **2a** could also be reacted with a number of aryl bromides to afford the corresponding 2,3-diarylated

^{(8) (}a) Okazawa, T.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5286. (b) Yokooji, A.; Okazawa, T.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 5685.

products 3 and 5 with substantial yields with the exception of the coupling of 2b with 1b (Schemes 4 and 5). In the latter case, a considerable amount of the starting alcohol was recovered. The reason the combination is sluggish is not definitive at the present stage.

In summary, we have shown that α,α -disubstituted 3-thiophenemethanols undergo selective 2,3-diarylation accompanied by cleavage of the C–H and C–C bonds of 2- and 3-positions, respectively, by treatment with aryl bromides in the presence of a palladium catalyst system. This appears to provide a useful, general synthetic route leading to 2,3-diarylthiophenes.

Experimental Section

2,3-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)thiophene (3b). In a 20 mL twonecked flask were added the bromide **1b** (2 mmol, 374 mg), the alcohol **2a** (0.5 mmol, 133 mg), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.05 mmol, 11.2 mg), P(biphenyl-2-yl)(*t*-Bu)₂ (0.1 mmol, 20.1 mg), Cs₂CO₃ (2 mmol, 652 mg), 1-methylnaphthalene (ca. 50 mg) as internal standard, and toluene (2.5 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred under N₂ (balloon) at 130 °C (bath temperature) for 8 h. After cooling, analysis of the mixture by GC confirmed formation of compound **3b** (127 mg, 86%). The product (89 mg, 60%) was also isolated by filtration of the mixture with a filter paper with ether, evaporation of the solvents, and chromatography on silica gel using hexanes–ethyl acetate (98:2, v/v). Compound **3b**:^{2b} mp 105–106 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 6.79–6.84 (m, 4H), 7.10 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.25 (m, 4H), 7.25 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 55.2, 55.2, 113.8, 113.9, 123.30 127.0, 129.2, 130.1, 130.3, 130.5, 137.0, 137.7, 158.4, 158.9; MS m/z 296 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₆O₂S: C, 72.94; H, 5.44. Found: C, 72.76; H, 5.26.

Acknowledgment. This work was partly supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

Supporting Information Available: Standard experimental procedure and characterization data for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

JO061412E